PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's fil	e reference	1							
B02/0948PC		FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.		International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/EP2004/003497		02.04.2004		03.04.2003					
International Patent Cla	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC								
		-							
Applicant BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT									
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 									
2. This REPORT	This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.								
3. This report is	also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:							
a. (se	ent to the applicant and	d to the International Bur	reau) a total of 2	sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).									
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental								
b <i>(s</i>	Box. b (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))								
				, containing a sequence listing and/or tables					
	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).								
4. This report co	ontains indications relat	ing to the following item	ns:						
Box 1	No. I Basis of th	e report							
Box 1	No. II Priority								
Box 1	No. III Non-establ	lishment of opinion with	regard to novelty, invent	tive step and industrial applicability					
Box 1	No. IV Lack of un	ity of invention							
Box 1	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
Box	Box No. VI Certain documents cited								
Box	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
Box	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of th	uis report						
			-						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			Authorized officer						
Facsimile No.			Telephone No.						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003497

Box	No. I	Basis of the report		
1.		regard to the language, this report is based on the internation ated under this item.	al application in the language in v	which it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposition international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	eses of:	, ,
•	With	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o regard to the elements of the international application, this r	•	beets which have been furnished to the
2.	recei	ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are report):	referred to in this report as "or	iginally filed" and are not annexed to
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:		
				as originally filed/furnished
		pages*		as originally meditirinshed
		pages*		
	\square		received by this Authority on _	
		the claims:		
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*		03.02.2005 with letter
		nos.* 1-13		of 02.02.2005
		nos.*	received by this Authority on	
İ		the drawings:		
		sheets <u>1/3-3/3</u>		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*		·
	_	sheets*	received by this Authority on	
ļ		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplem	ental Box Relating to Sequence L	isting.
3.	Ш	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
Ŀ	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003497

			er Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; s supporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims		_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-13	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims		NO
I				

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US-A-5 821 187

The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1-13 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

D1 is considered the closest prior art. It discloses a method for coating internal fittings in a reactor with a catalytically active material using an aerosol, from which the method defined in claim 1 differs only in that D1 contains no details as to the speed at which the aerosol is guided through the reactor. The method as per claim 1 can therefore be considered novel.

The problem addressed by the present invention can therefore be considered that of finding a suitable value for the speed of flow of the aerosol so as to obtain a deposition of the aerosol particles on the internal fittings of the reactor.

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement

The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be deemed inventive (PCT Article 33(3)) for the following reasons:

The method known from D1 also contains deposition of the aerosol particles on the internal fittings of the reactor. It is not possible to identify in the subject matter of the application any improvement in the deposition that is substantiated by a special range for the speed of the aerosol. It appears that the broad range of 0.1 to 10 m/s substantially covers the range in which a person skilled in the art would anyway work, on the basis of standard optimisation considerations. Attention is also drawn in this regard to page 3, lines 17-20 of the description, where it is stated that the determining of suitable aerosol speeds on the basis of known deposition mechanisms falls within the scope of the standard practice of a person skilled in the art.

The same reasoning applies accordingly to use claim 13, the subject matter of which does not, therefore, involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

Dependent claims 2-12 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT inventive step requirements, since the claims define merely standard measures in the art which a person skilled in the art would employ without being inventive in so doing.